arXiv:1109.6697v1 [math.NT] 30 Sep 2011

AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR REPRESENTATIONS OF INTEGERS BY INDEFINITE HERMITIAN FORMS

EMILIO A. LAURET

Abstract. We fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, and an $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian form Q of signature $(n, 1)$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying a lattice point theorem on the $\mathbb{F}$-hyperbolic space, we give an asymptotic formula with an error term, as $t \to +\infty$, for the number $N_t(Q, -k)$ of integral solutions $x \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ of the equation $Q[x] = -k$ satisfying $|x_{n+1}| \leq t$.

1. Introduction

The representation theory of quadratic forms has a long history. It starts with the qualitative problem of determining which integers are represented by a given quadratic form. For example, Fermat, Legendre and Lagrange found which integral numbers are represented as sums of two, three and four squares respectively. After that, the quantitative problem was considered by Jacobi, Kloosterman and Liouville among others. For instance, Jacobi proved that the number of ways to write any positive integer number k as a sum of four squares is

$$8 \sum_{m|k,\ 4\nmid m} m,$$

by determining the Fourier coefficients of the theta function associated to the form $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_4^2$.

These examples are positive definite quadratic forms. For indefinite forms, the literature is much less abundant as the definite case. In the present work, we study the quantitative problem for quadratic and hermitian forms of signature $(n, 1)$.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathcal{O}$ a maximal order in $\mathbb{F}$. Thus $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integer numbers $\mathbb{Z}$ in the real case, the ring of integers of an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in the complex case (e.g. the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$), and, for instance, the ring of Hurwitz integers if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, though there are many other choices. We denote by C^* the conjugate transpose of C and we let $B[C] = C^*BC$, where $B \in \mathrm{M}(m, \mathbb{F})$ and $C \in \mathrm{M}(m, l; \mathbb{F})$.

We consider an $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & -a \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

with respect to the canonical involution on $\mathbb{F}$, with $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O})$ a positive definite $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian matrix. We also denote by Q the induced $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian form

$$Q[x] = A[\widehat{x}] - a\,|x_{n+1}|^2,$$

Date: September 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D45, 11E39; Secondary 58C40.

Key words and phrases. Representation by hermitian forms, hyperbolic lattice point theorem.

Supported by Conicet and Secyt-UNC.

where $\widehat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

We are interested, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in the solution vectors $x \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ of the equation

$$Q[x] = -k. \tag{1.2}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{R}(Q, -k) \quad = \quad \{x \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1} : Q[x] = -k\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Since Q is an indefinite form, this set is either empty or infinite.

In this paper we establish an asymptotic formula, for large t, for the number $N_t(Q, -k)$ of integral solutions of the equation $Q[x] = -k$ satisfying $|x_{n+1}| \leq t$, where $-k$ is represented by Q. For $t > 0$,

$$N_t(Q, -k) \quad = \quad \#\{x \in \mathcal{R}(Q, -k) : |x_{n+1}| \leq t\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Our main result, Theorem 5.1, is the asymptotic formula

$$N_t(Q, -k) = \left(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{2^{(r_{\mathbb{F}}-1)(n+1)} a^{\rho} \operatorname{vol}(S^{n r_{\mathbb{F}}-1})}{(r_{\mathbb{F}}(n+1) - 2)|\det(Q)|^{r_{\mathbb{F}}/2}} \, \delta(Q, -k) \, t^{2\rho} + O(t^{\tau}), \tag{1.5}$$

as $t \to +\infty$, where $r_{\mathbb{F}} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F})$, $d_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the discriminant of the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$, $\rho = \frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}(n+1) - 1$ and $\delta(Q, -k)$ is the local density of the representation (1.2) (see (4.5)). The number τ is defined in (3.3). It depends only on $\mathbb{F}$, or more precisely, on the lower bound of the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$, where Γ is an arithmetic lattice and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$ is the n-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-hyperbolic space. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, formula (1.5) holds with $\tau = n - 3/2$ (note that $2\rho = n - 1$).

In the particular case when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $Q = I_{n,1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_n & \\ & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, the main theorem says us that the number $N_t(I_{n,1}, -k)$ of vectors $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ such that

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2 = -k \qquad \text{and} \qquad |x_{n+1}| \leq t$$

satisfies the following asymptotic estimate, as $t \to +\infty$,

$$N_t(I_{n,1}, -k) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1})}{n-1} \, \delta(I_{n,1}, -k) \, t^{n-1} + O(t^{n-3/2}).$$

This formula is due to J. Ratcliffe and S. Tschantz [RT97]. The present article was inspired by this work.

Our main tool is the hyperbolic lattice point theorem of P. Lax and R. Phillips [LP82] (improved by B. M. Levitan [Le87]) in the real case, and in the general case ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$) by R. Bruggeman, R. Miatello and N. Wallach [BMW99]. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we use the best lower bound known for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, obtained in [EGM90] and in [CLPS91].

After applying the lattice point theorem, we determine the coefficient in the main term by using the theory of Siegel [Sie44] on quadratic forms and its generalization for hermitian forms given by Raghavan [Rag62]. The formula (1.5) holds only for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ since there is not a generalization of Siegel's theory in the quaternionic case.

Consider the real norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ given by the positive definite $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian form $\widetilde{Q} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & \\ & a \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, that is

$$\|x\|^2 = \widetilde{Q}[x] = A[\widehat{x}] + a\,|x_{n+1}|^2,$$

where $\widehat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Note that for a solution $x \in \mathcal{R}(Q, -k)$, we have

$$|x_{n+1}| \leq t \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \|x\| \leq \sqrt{2at^2 - k}.$$

Thus, we are also obtaining an asymptotic formula, as $r \to +\infty$, for the number of solutions $x \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ of (1.2) with x lying in the ball of radius r centered at the origin with respect to $\| \cdot \|$. In this context, the problem has been considered by some authors (see [Sch85], [Sa90], [BR95]). They count integer points on homogeneous spaces (hyperbolic spaces in our particular case) by using harmonic analysis on the corresponding groups and obtain similar asymptotic formulas for $N_t(Q, -k)$. The ternary real case is considered in [Bo01].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the geometric context, relating $N_t(Q, -k)$ with the number of elements in an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}})$ satisfying a geometric condition. In Section 3, we apply the lattice point theorem to count such lattice points. Section 4 uses Siegel's theory to compute the main term of the formula. We conclude with Section 5 which contains our main theorem and some examples.

2. $\mathbb{F}$-Hyperbolic space

Throughout the paper, given R a ring with identity, we denote by $\mathrm{M}(m, n; R)$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices with coefficients in R. When $n = m$ we just write $\mathrm{M}(m, R)$. Let R^m denote the right R-module $\mathrm{M}(m, 1; R)$. We denote by $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{F})$ the *general linear group* and by $\mathrm{SL}(m, \mathbb{F})$ its derived group, the *special linear group*. We denote by C^* the conjugate transpose of C and we let $B[C] = C^*BC$, where $B \in \mathrm{M}(m, \mathbb{F})$ and $C \in \mathrm{M}(m, k; \mathbb{F})$.

We now introduce a model for Riemannian symmetric spaces of real rank one and negative curvature (leaving out the Cayley plane). These are the real, complex and quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. For a general reference on this subject see [BH99, II.§10] and [Mo73, §19].

Let Q be the matrix defined as in (1.1). The set

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q) \quad = \quad \left\{ [x] \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{F}^{n+1} : Q[x] < 0 \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

will serve as the set of points for the *Q-Klenian model* of n-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$-hyperbolic geometry. Note that condition $Q[x] < 0$ is well defined on the projective space. The metric in this model is given by

$$ds^2 = -Q[x]^{-2} \det \begin{pmatrix} Q[x] & Q(dx, x) \\ Q(x, dx) & Q[dx] \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1} - \{0\}$, where $Q(x, y) = y^*Qx$. It is easy to check that the distance function on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ satisfies

$$\cosh(d([x], [y])) \quad = \quad \frac{|Q(x, y)|}{|Q[x]|^{1/2}\, |Q[y]|^{1/2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

It is standard to use the *unit ball model* $\mathrm{B}^n_{\mathbb{F}} = \{u \in \mathbb{F}^n : |u| < 1\}$ for the $\mathbb{F}$-hyperbolic space of dimension n. Write $I_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The map

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \mapsto \left(\frac{x_1}{x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} \right) \tag{2.4}$$

is an identification between $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(I_{n,1})$, the Klenian model for $Q = I_{n,1}$, and the open unit ball model $\mathrm{B}^n_{\mathbb{F}}$. The metric here is

$$ds^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{u}_j \mathrm{d}u_j}{1 - |u|^2} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{u_j\, \bar{u}_k\, \mathrm{d}\bar{u}_j\, \mathrm{d}u_k}{(1 - |u|^2)^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

This metric induces a metric on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(I_{n,1})$ under the identification (2.4), which coincides with (2.2) for $Q = I_{n,1}$. Moreover, the linear map $T = \begin{pmatrix} L & \\ & \sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ where $A = L^*L$ (thus $I_{n,1}[Tx] = Q[x]$), carries $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(I_{n,1})$ to $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$, and the metric (2.2) is left invariant.

We denote by

$$\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}) : Q[g] = Q\}$$

the *Q-unitary group* and by $\mathrm{SU}(Q, \mathbb{F}) = \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{F})$ the *special Q-unitary group*. For $Q = I_{n,1}$, it is known the classical notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{U}(I_{n,1}, \mathbb{F}) &= \text{Ø}(n,1),\ \mathrm{U}(n,1),\ \mathrm{Sp}(n,1) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{SU}(I_{n,1}, \mathbb{F}) &= \mathrm{SO}(n,1),\ \mathrm{SU}(n,1),\ \mathrm{Sp}(n,1), \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ respectively. It will be useful to known who is the center of $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$. This is given by

$$Z(\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})) = \begin{cases} \{\pm I_{n+1}\} & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \\ \{z I_{n+1} : |z| = 1\} \cong S^1 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \\ \{\pm I_{n+1}\} & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

The group $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ by

$$[x] \mapsto g \cdot [x] = [gx].$$

Indeed, by the distance formula (2.3), its elements act by isometries. Let $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))$ (resp. $\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))$) denote the set of isometries (resp. orientation-preserving isometries) of $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. It is clear that the elements of $Z(\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}))$ act as the identity map on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. Moreover, we have that

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow Z(\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))$$

is an exact sequence. Furthermore, the group $\mathrm{PU}(Q, \mathbb{F}) := \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})/Z(\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}))$ is, up to finite index, the full isometry group $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))$.

Remark 2.1. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ the group $\text{Ø}(Q) := \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ has four connected components. Since $Z(O(Q)) = \{\pm I_{n+1}\}$ we can identify $\mathrm{PU}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\mathrm{PO}(Q) := \{g \in \text{Ø}(Q) : g_{n+1,n+1} > 0\},$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}(Q))$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}(Q)) \cong \mathrm{PSO}(Q) := \mathrm{PO}(Q) \cap \mathrm{SO}(Q),$$

the identity connected component of $\text{Ø}(Q)$.

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, the group $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}(Q))$ is generated by $\mathrm{PU}(Q, \mathbb{C})$ and the conjugation $[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \to [\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{n+1}]$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, then $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{H}}(Q)) \cong \mathrm{PU}(Q, \mathbb{H})$ for $n > 1$.

We fix a *maximal order* $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{F}$; this means that the subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{F}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mathcal{O}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{F}$ (there is an $\mathbb{R}$-basis $v_1, \dots, v_{r_{\mathbb{F}}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_{r_{\mathbb{F}}}$);
(ii) $\mathcal{O}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{F}$ containing 1;
(iii) $2\mathrm{Re}(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}$;

and $\mathcal{O}$ is maximal among all orders (subsets of $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying (i)-(iii)). Here $r_{\mathbb{F}} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F})$.

The elements of $\mathcal{O}$ will be called *integers*. The ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is the only order in $\mathbb{R}$. In $\mathbb{C}$, the maximal orders are the rings of integers of the imaginary quadratic extensions $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ ($d > 0$ squarefree) of $\mathbb{Q}$. More precisely, they are of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-d} & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4, \\ \dfrac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ there are many orders. We refer to [MR03] for more detailed information. As a canonical example in this case, the reader may take

$$\mathcal{O} = \left\{a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} + \tfrac{1}{2}\right\},$$

the *Hurwitz integers*.

Let Γ_Q be the set of unimodular matrices in $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$, that is

$$\Gamma_Q = \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F}) \cap \mathrm{M}(n+1, \mathcal{O}).$$

This is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$ with finite center. The action of Γ_Q on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ is discontinuous, not free and the quotient $\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ is of finite volume and not compact.

On the other hand, the group Γ_Q acts by left multiplication on the set $\mathcal{R}(Q, k)$ given in (1.3).

Lemma 2.2. *The set of* Γ_Q*-orbits in* $\mathcal{R}(Q, k)$ *is finite.*

Proof. This assertion follows by applying [BH-S62, Thm. 6.9]. The case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ is proved in [Ca78, Lem 6.1, Ch 9]. □

From now on, we fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Q *represents* $-k$, that is, there exists $x \in \mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ satisfying $Q[x] = -k$. Let F be a (finite) set of representantives of the Γ_Q-orbits of $\mathcal{R}(Q, -k)$. Let $\Gamma_{Q,y}$ be the stabilizer of y in Γ_Q, which is finite. We conclude this section by relating the number $N_t(Q, k)$ defined in (1.4), with the cardinality of subsets of lattice points in Γ_Q.

Proposition 2.3. *For* $t > 0$*, we have*

$$N_t(Q, -k) = \sum_{y \in F} |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1} \, \#\left\{g \in \Gamma_Q : d([e_{n+1}], g \cdot [y]) \leq s\right\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $s = \operatorname{arccosh}(a^{1/2} k^{-1/2}\, t) > 0$.

Proof. Put

$$\mathcal{R}_t(Q, -k) = \{x \in \mathcal{R}(Q, -k) : |x_{n+1}| \leq t\}.$$

The cardinality of this set is $N_t(Q, -k)$. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})^t \in \mathcal{R}(Q, -k)$. By (2.3),

$$\cosh\left(d([e_{n+1}], [x])\right) = a^{1/2} k^{-1/2}\, |x_{n+1}|. \tag{2.8}$$

Fix $t > 0$, thus $\cosh(s) = a^{1/2} k^{-1/2}\, t$. Let $g \in \Gamma_Q$ and $y \in F$ such that $gy = x$, then $g \cdot [y] = [x]$. Note that (2.8) tells that

$$|x_{n+1}| \leq t \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d([e_{n+1}], g \cdot [y]) \leq s.$$

But the condition on the left ensures that $x \in \mathcal{R}_t(Q,-k)$. We conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}_t(Q,-k) = \bigcup_{y\in F} \{gy : g \in \Gamma_Q \;\text{ and }\; d([e_{n+1}], g\cdot[y]) \leq s\}. \tag{2.9}$$

The proposition follows by counting the elements of these sets. □

3. Lattice point theorem

In this section we use lattice point theorems to determine the asymptotic distribution, for $t \to +\infty$, of the number of elements in the sets

$$\{g \in \Gamma_Q : d([e_{n+1}], g\cdot[y]) \leq s\}\,.$$

We will follow the notation in [BMW99] since its lattice point theorem works for every hyperbolic spaces. Let

$$G = \mathrm{PU}^0(Q,\mathbb{F}) = \mathrm{U}^0(Q,\mathbb{F})/Z,$$

where Z denotes the center of $\mathrm{U}^0(Q,\mathbb{F})$. The group $\mathrm{U}(Q,\mathbb{F})$ is connected for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}$, thus Z is as in (2.6). When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{U}^0(Q,\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{PSO}(Q)$ (see Remark 2.1) has trivial center.

The group G is a connected semisimple group of real rank one and trivial center. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G and let θ be the Cartan involution on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $\theta(X) = -X^*$ with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$.

The group G acts transitively on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ by $[x] \mapsto gZ\cdot[x] := [gx]$, where $g \in \mathrm{U}^0(Q,\mathbb{F})$ and gZ denotes the class of g in G. By abuse of notation, we write g instead gZ. The element $[e_{n+1}] = [(0,\dots,0,1)^t]$ lies in $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. Let K denote the stabilizer $G_{[e_{n+1}]}$ of $[e_{n+1}]$ in G. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$, the group K can be represented in $\mathrm{U}^0(Q,\mathbb{F})$ by

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix} h & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} : h \in \mathrm{U}(A,\mathbb{F})\right\}.$$

Thus $K \cong \mathrm{SO}(n), \mathrm{U}(n)$ respectively. The Lie algebra of K is $\mathfrak{k}$, and then it is a maximal compact subgroup of G.

Let B be the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$B = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\, B_K & \text{for } \mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R},\\ \frac{1}{4(n+1)}\, B_K & \text{for } \mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C},\\ \frac{1}{8(n+2)}\, B_K & \text{for } \mathbb{F}=\mathbb{H},\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where B_K denotes the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. The map $g \mapsto [ge_{n+1}]$ from G to $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ gives rise to an G-equivariant bijection between the symmetric space G/K and $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. Under this identification, the Riemannian structure given in (2.2) on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ corresponds to the structure induced on G/K by the bilinear form B restricted to $\mathfrak{p}$. This is the standard Riemannian metric on $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$ which, when $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ gives $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}(Q)$ constant curvature -1, and pinched sectional curvature in the interval $[-4,-1]$ to $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$, if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}$.

Fix $G = NAK$ an Iwasawa decomposition of G and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{n}$ be the corresponding decomposition at the Lie algebra level. Let M be the centralizer of A in K. Let 2ρ denote the sum of the positive roots of G, which is equal to $n-1, 2n, 4n+2$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}$ respectively.

Let Γ be a non cocompact lattice in G. Let Δ be the Laplace operator on $\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. We identify $-\Delta$ with the Casimir operator C of G, with respect to the form B. We fix a complete orthonormal set $\{\varphi_j\}$ of real valued eigenfunctions of C, with (exceptional) eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N < \rho^2$, written as $\lambda_j = \rho^2 - \nu_j^2$, with $0 < \nu_N \leq \nu_{N-1} \leq \cdots \leq \nu_1 < \rho$.

Now we can state the *hyperbolic lattice point theorem*. It was proved for the real hyperbolic space by P. Lax and R. Phillips [LP82], with an improved error term by B. M. Levitan [Le87] and generalized by R. Bruggeman, R. Miatello and N. Wallach [BMW99] for any symmetric space of real rank one.

Theorem 3.1. *In the notation above, for* $[x], [y] \in \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$, *we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}(3.2)\quad \#\{g \in \Gamma : d([x], g\cdot[y]) \leq s\} &= \frac{2^{1-n}\zeta}{2\rho\,\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)}\, e^{2\rho s}\\ &+ 2^{1-n}\zeta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{c(\nu_j)}{\nu_j+\rho}\, \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)\, e^{(\rho+\nu_j)s} + O\left(e^{(2\rho\frac{n}{n+1}+\varepsilon)s}\right)\end{aligned}$$

as $s \to +\infty$, *for* $\varepsilon = 0$ *when* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ *and for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *otherwise. Here* $c(\nu)$ *is the Harish-Chandra c-function and* $\zeta = \mathrm{vol}(K/M)$.

Note that the summation in (3.2) can be restricted to the indices j such that $\rho + \nu_j > 2\rho\frac{n}{n+1}$ (in the real case we can replace $>$ by $\geq$). In the case when the term of $\rho + \nu_1$ is meaningful, we will allow the error term to increase up to $\rho + \nu_1$. Put

$$(3.3)\qquad \tau = \begin{cases} \rho + \nu_1 & \text{if } \rho + \nu_1 \geq \frac{(n-1)n}{n+1} \text{ and } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R},\\ \rho + \nu_1 & \text{if } \rho + \nu_1 > 2\rho\frac{n}{n+1} \text{ and } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H},\\ 2\rho\frac{n}{n+1} + \varepsilon & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where ε is zero if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or any positive value if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. The last case includes the case when there are no exceptional eigenvalues. With this notation we can rewrite (3.2) as

$$(3.4)\qquad \#\{g \in \Gamma : d([x], g\cdot[y]) \leq s\} = \frac{2^{1-n}\zeta}{2\rho\,\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)}\, e^{2\rho s} + O\left(e^{\tau s}\right).$$

We see that in (3.4) the error term depends on the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on $\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$. The following theorem was proved in [EGM90] and [CLPS91]. The notation $\mathrm{PSO}(Q)$ was introduced in Remark 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSO}(Q) \cap \mathrm{M}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$. *Then, for every* $n > 2$, *the first nonzero eigenvalue for the Laplace operator* Δ *on* $\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}(Q)$ *satisfies* $\lambda_1 \geq (2n-3)/4$.

Bounds of this kind are not known in the complex and quaternionic case (see [Li91, Cor. 1.4] for a related result in the complex case).

Remark 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, the value of ν_1 satisfies

$$\nu_1 \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{2n-3}{4}} = \frac{n-2}{2}.$$

Moreover, in the worst possible case $\nu_1 = \frac{n-2}{2}$, one has $\nu_1 \geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{2(n+1)}$ for all $n \geq 3$, which implies that (3.4) holds for $\tau = \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2} = n - \frac{3}{2}$ since $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$.

Before applying Theorem 3.1 to our problem, we give the value of $\zeta = \mathrm{vol}(K/M)$. Recall that $r_{\mathbb{F}} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F})$.

Lemma 3.4. *In the notation above, one has* $\zeta = \operatorname{vol}(S^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1})$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the lemma for $Q = I_{n,1}$ since, if we write $A = L^*L$ and set $T = \begin{pmatrix} L & \\ & \sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix}$, the map $g \mapsto TgT^{-1}$ induces an isomorphisms of Lie groups from $\mathrm{U}(Q,\mathbb{F})$ to $\mathrm{U}(I_{n,1},\mathbb{F})$, which preserves the Killing form.

Recall that $\mathfrak{a}$ is the Lie algebra of the group A from the Iwasawa decomposition taken at the beginning of this section. This is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$. It has dimension one. Let $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $B(H_0, H_0) = 1$. Set $S = \{H \in \mathfrak{p} : B(H,H) = 1\}$.

The adjoint representation restricted to K left invariant the set S and this action is transitive. The stabilizer of H_0 is M. Then, we have a K-equivariant bijection from the manifold K/M to S. Moreover, we take the Riemannian metric B on K/M and S, and we have an isometry. The assertion follows by showing that the Riemannian manifold S is isometric to $S^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1}$, the $(nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1)$-dimensional sphere on $\mathbb{R}^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}}$. □

We take the lattice Γ_Q^0 in G given by the image of Γ_Q under the projection from $\mathrm{U}(Q,\mathbb{F})^0$ to G. In the real case, we have $\Gamma_Q^0 = \Gamma_Q \cap \mathrm{PSO}(Q)$. Let us denote by w the number of units in $\mathcal{O}$. It is clear that

$$\kappa := [\Gamma_Q : \Gamma_Q^0] = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \\ w & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_\kappa\}$ be a set of representatives of the Γ_Q^0-coclases of Γ_Q. For example

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, $\{\alpha I_{n+1} : \alpha \in \mathcal{O}^\times\}$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\{\pm I_{n+1}\}$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$.

Now we can apply the lattice point theorem to our problem. For each $1 \leq j \leq \kappa$, Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\#\{g \in \Gamma_Q^0 : d([e_{n+1}], g \cdot (g_j \cdot [y])) \leq s\} = \frac{2^{1-n}\,\zeta}{2\rho \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q^0 \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))}\, e^{2\rho s} + O(e^{s\tau}). \tag{3.5}$$

A trivial verification shows that $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q^0 \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(Q)) = 2 \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(Q))$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q^0 \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q)) = \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))$ otherwise, where $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))$ denotes the volume on any fundamental domain in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q)$ relative to Γ_Q (the action of Γ_Q on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q)$ is not faithful). Furthermore, Lemma 3.4 gives $\zeta = \operatorname{vol}(S^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1})$. These considerations imply, by adding (3.5) over j, that

$$\#\big\{g \in \Gamma_Q : d([e_{n+1}], g \cdot [y]) \leq s\big\} \;=\; w\, \frac{2^{1-n} \operatorname{vol}(S^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1})}{2\rho \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))}\, e^{2\rho s} + O(e^{s\tau}) \tag{3.6}$$

if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\#\big\{g \in \Gamma_Q : d([e_{n+1}], g \cdot [y]) \leq s\big\} \;=\; 2\, \frac{2^{1-n} \operatorname{vol}(S^{4n-1})}{2\rho \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n(Q))}\, e^{2\rho s} + O(e^{s\tau}) \tag{3.7}$$

if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. Applying these equations to (2.7), we obtain that

$$N_t(Q, -k) = \widetilde{w}\, \frac{2^{1-n} \operatorname{vol}(S^{nr_{\mathbb{F}}-1})}{2\rho \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))} \Big(\sum_{y \in F} |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1} \Big)\, e^{2\rho s} + O(e^{s\tau}), \tag{3.8}$$

where $\widetilde{w} = w$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\widetilde{w} = 2$ otherwise.

Recall that $\cosh(s) = a^{1/2}\, k^{-1/2}\, t$. Notice that we can replace the error term in (3.8) by $O(t^\tau)$ since $e^s \sim 2\cosh(s) = 2\, a^{1/2}\, k^{-1/2}\, t$ as $s \to +\infty$, and furthermore $e^{2\rho s} = 2^{2\rho} a^\rho k^{-\rho}\, t^{2\rho} + O(t^\tau)$.

Collecting all the information in this section, we have obtained the following formula.

Proposition 3.5. *The number* $N_t(Q, -k)$ *satisfies the asymptotic estimate*

$$N_t(Q,-k) = \widetilde{w}\, \frac{2^{2\rho-(n-1)} a^\rho \operatorname{vol}(S^{n r_{\mathbb{F}}-1})}{2\rho\, k^\rho \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q \backslash \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))} \Big(\sum_{y \in F} |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1} \Big)\, t^{2\rho} + O(t^\tau), \tag{3.9}$$

as $t \to +\infty$*, where* τ *is as in* (3.3) *and* $\widetilde{w} = w$ *if* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\widetilde{w} = 2$ *otherwise. Moreover, when* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ *and* $n > 2$*,* (3.9) *holds with* $\tau = n - 3/2$*.*

The last assertion follows from Remark 3.3.

4. The mass of the representation

The object of this section is to obtain a formula for the term $\sum_{y \in F} |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1}$ by using Siegel's theory on quadratic forms and its generalization to complex hermitian forms given by Raghavan. Our main references are [Sie67] and [Rag62]. From now on we make the assumption $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, since there are no similar results to those in [Sie67] in the quaternionic hermitian case.

We denote by $d_{\mathcal{O}}$ the discriminant of the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$ and we assume from now on that $n > 2$.

We pick $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $R \in \mathrm{M}(n, \mathbb{F})$ such that the matrix

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} -k & v \\ v^t & R \end{pmatrix}$$

has signature $(n, 1)$. For $y \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1}$ such that $Q[y] = -k$, let $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})_y$ denote the set of elements $U \in \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$ such that $Uy = y$. Note that if $y \in \mathcal{O}^n$, the stabilizer of y in Γ_Q is $\Gamma_{Q,y} = \mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})_y \cap \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathcal{O})$. Consider the varieties

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(Q, W) &= \{X \in \mathrm{M}(n+1, \mathbb{F}) : Q[X] = W\}, \\ \Omega(Q, W; y) &= \{Y \in \mathrm{M}(n+1, n; \mathbb{F}) : Q[(y \,|\, Y)] = W\}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix on $\Omega(Q, W)$ the volume element

$$\mathrm{d}\omega = \left|\det(WQ^{-1})\right|^{1/2} \frac{\{\mathrm{d}X\}}{\{\mathrm{d}W\}}$$

following the notation in [Sie67] and [Rag62]. Similarly, on $\Omega(Q, W; y)$ we use the volume element

$$\mathrm{d}\omega^* = \left|\det(WQ^{-1})\right|^{1/2} \frac{\{\mathrm{d}X\}}{\{\mathrm{d}v\}\{\mathrm{d}R\}}.$$

The groups $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})$ and $\mathrm{U}(Q, \mathbb{F})_y$ act by left multiplication on $\Omega(Q, W)$ and $\Omega(Q, W; y)$ respectively, and the given measures on these varieties are invariant under these actions.

Siegel (and [Rag62] for the hermitian case) defines *the measure of the representation of* $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *by* Q as

$$\mu(Q, -k) = \sum_{y \in F} \mu(y, Q)/\mu(Q). \tag{4.1}$$

Here $\mu(Q)$ denotes the *measure of the unit group* Γ_Q given by the volume of any fundamental domain for the action of Γ_Q on $\Omega(Q,W)$, and similarly, $\mu(y,Q)$ is the *measure of the representation* y, given by $(r_{\mathbb{F}}/\sqrt{|d_{\mathcal{O}}|})^{n(n+1)/2}$ times the volume of any fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_{Q,y}$ on $\Omega(Q,W;y)$. These numbers do not depend on W.

We will recover from the right hand of (4.1) the term $\sum_{y\in F}|\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1}$ and then, by applying Siegel's main theorem, we will obtain an explicit formula for this term. By [Sie67, Thm. 7, Ch IV] and [Rag62, (93)] (or [Ram61, (70)]) we have that

$$w\,\mu(Q) = \big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{4}} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q\backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))}{|\det Q|^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}(n+1)+1}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\pi^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}j}}{\Gamma(\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}j)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $w=\#\mathcal{O}^\times$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(y)$ be a fundamental domain of the action of $\Gamma_{Q,y}$ on $\Omega(Q,W;y)$. By definition $\mu(y,Q) = |\det W|^{1/2}\,|\det Q|^{-1/2}(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|)^{n(n+1)/4}\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(y)}\mathrm{d}\omega^*$, but the measure $\mathrm{d}\omega^*$ is invariant by $\Gamma_{Q,y}$, then

$$\mu(y,Q) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{Q,y}|}\left|\frac{\det W}{\det Q}\right|^{1/2}\big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}}\int_{\Omega(Q,W;y)}\mathrm{d}\omega^*.$$

Using [Sie67, Thm. 6, Ch IV] and [Ram61, Lemma 9], we have that

$$\mu(y,Q) = |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1}\big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}}\,|\det Q|^{-\frac{nr_{\mathbb{F}}+1}{2}}\;k^{1-\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}(n+1)}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\pi^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}j}}{\Gamma(\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}j)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, (4.2) and (4.3) imply that

$$\mu(Q,-k) = w\big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}|\det Q|^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}}\frac{k^{1-\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}(n+1)}}{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q\backslash\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{F}}^n(Q))}\sum_{y\in F}|\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now, we will recall Siegel's main theorem for indefinite quadratic and hermitian forms (see [Sie44, Thm. 1] and [Rag62, Thm. 7]). For every rational prime p, the *p-adic density of representation of $-k$ by Q* is

$$\delta_p(Q,-k) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{\mathcal{A}_{p^j}(Q,-k)}{p^{j(r_{\mathbb{F}}(n+1)-1)}}, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{p^j}(Q,-k) = \#\left\{x\in\big(\mathcal{O}/(p)^j\big)^{n+1} : Q[x]\equiv -k \mod (p)^j\right\}.$$

Define $\delta(Q,-k)=\prod_p\delta_p(Q,-k)$, the *local density*, where the product is over all prime numbers.

Theorem 4.1 (*Siegel's mass formula*)**.** *If $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ and $n\geq 3$, or $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and $n\geq 2$, then*

$$\mu(Q,-k) = \delta(Q,-k). \tag{4.6}$$

See [Sie44, Thm. 1] for the real case (it is mentioned in page 580 that (4.6) holds for $n=3$) and [Rag62, Thm. 7] for the complex case. See [Bo01] for the ternary quadratic case ($n=2$ and $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$).

By combining equation (4.4) and Theorem 4.1, we obtain an expression for the term $\sum_{y\in F}|\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1}$, the main goal of this section.

Corollary 4.2. *We have that*

$$\sum_{y\in F} |\Gamma_{Q,y}|^{-1} = w^{-1}\big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} k^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}(n+1)-1} |\det Q|^{-\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}} \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_Q\backslash \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))\, \delta(Q,-k)$$

5. Main theorem

We can now state the main result in this paper, which follows by combining Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 4.2. The last assertion is a consequence of Remark 3.3. We first recall some terminology: $r_{\mathbb{F}} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal order in $\mathbb{F}$, $d_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\rho = \frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}(n+1)-1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Q be an $\mathbb{F}$-hermitian matrix as in* (1.1)*, with $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ and $n\geq 3$ or $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and $n\geq 2$. We fix $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $-k$ is represented by Q. Then, the number $N_t(Q,-k)$ of elements $x\in\mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ such that $Q[x]=-k$ and $|x_{n+1}|\leq t$, satisfies the following asymptotic estimate as $t\to+\infty$,*

$$N_t(Q,-k) = \big(r_{\mathbb{F}}^2/|d_{\mathcal{O}}|\big)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{2^{(r_{\mathbb{F}}-1)(n+1)} a^{\rho} \operatorname{vol}(S^{n r_{\mathbb{F}}-1})}{\big(r_{\mathbb{F}}(n+1)-2\big)\,|\det Q|^{\frac{r_{\mathbb{F}}}{2}}}\, \delta(Q,-k)\, t^{2\rho} + O(t^{\tau}), \tag{5.1}$$

where τ is as in (3.3)*. Moreover, when $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ and $n>2$, the formula* (3.9) *holds for $\tau = n-3/2$.*

Remark 5.2. In order to get an explicit value of the main term in (5.1) for a fixed quadratic or hermitian form Q, one needs to determine the local density $\delta(Q,-k)$. When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$, T. Yang [Ya98] computed this local density for any quadratic form Q. For $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ see [Hi99].

Remark 5.3. The case $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ and $Q = I_{n,1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_n & \\ & -1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ was considered by J. Ratcliffe and S. Tschantz [RT97]. Since $r_{\mathbb{F}} = |d_{\mathcal{O}}| = a = |\det(I_{n,1})| = 1$ and $\rho = (n-1)/2$, Theorem 5.1 now yields

$$N_t(I_{n,1},-k) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1})}{n-1}\,\delta(I_{n,1},-k)\, t^{n-1} + O(t^{n-3/2}). \tag{5.2}$$

The terms $\delta_p(I_{n,1},-k)$ for $p>2$ have been known since Siegel (see [RT97, Lem. 11]). Ratcliffe and Tschantz computed $\delta_2(I_{n,1},-k)$, obtaining a formula for $\delta(I_{n,1},-k)$ (see [RT97, Thm. 12]). Also, they made experimental computations of $N_t(I_{n,1},-k)$ for $t=10^2,10^3,10^4$, for low values of n and k.

We conclude the article considering the case of the Lorentzian hermitian form over the Gaussian integers, that is $Q = I_{n,1}$ for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ (thus $d_{\mathcal{O}}=-4$). Let us assume that

$$\lambda_1 \geq 2n-1, \tag{5.3}$$

where λ_1 is the first nonzero eigenvalue for the Laplace operator Δ on $\Gamma_Q^0\backslash\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$. Jian-Shu Li [Li91] proves this for Δ on the subspace of *nondegenerate* forms in $L^2(\Gamma_Q^0\backslash\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}(Q))$. Similar to Remark 3.3, we obtain that $\nu_1\leq n-1$, thus $\nu_1 > \rho\frac{n-1}{n+1}$, then τ given in (3.3) can be taken as $\tau = 2n-1$.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $n>2$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, under the assumption* (5.3)*, the number $N_t(I_{n,1},-k)$ of elements $x\in\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]^{n+1}$ such that*

$$|x_1|^2+\cdots+|x_n|^2-|x_{n+1}|^2 = -k \quad \textit{and} \quad |x_{n+1}|\leq t,$$

satisfies the following asymptotic estimate as $t \to +\infty$,

$$N_t(I_{n,1}, -k) = \frac{2^{n+1}\,\mathrm{vol}(S^{2n-1})}{n}\,\delta(I_{n,1}, -k)\, t^{2n} + O(t^{2n-1}). \tag{5.4}$$

Remark 5.5. Of course, (5.4) coincides with the number $N_t(I_{2n,2}, -k)$ of elements $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$ such that

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2n}^2 - x_{2n+1}^2 - x_{2n+2}^2 = -k \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2n+1}^2 + x_{2n+2}^2 \leq t^2. \tag{5.5}$$

Furthermore, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$ satisfies (5.5) if and only if

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2n}^2 - x_{2n+1}^2 - x_{2n+2}^2 = -k \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_2^2 \leq 2t^2 - k, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the canonical norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. Then, the number $\widetilde{N}_r(I_{2n,2}, -k)$ of elements $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$ such that $I_{2n,2}[x] = -k$ and $\|x\|_2 \leq r$ satisfies the following asymptotic estimate as $r \to +\infty$,

$$\widetilde{N}_r(I_{n,2}, -k) = \frac{2\,\mathrm{vol}(S^{2n-1})}{n}\,\delta(I_{n,1}, -k)\, r^{2n} + O(r^{2n-1}). \tag{5.7}$$

Acknowledgments

This is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, written under the supervision of Professor Roberto Miatello at the University of Córdoba, Argentina. The author sincerely thanks Roberto for suggesting the problem and for many helpful discussions concerning the material in this paper. The author also wishes to express his thanks to Wai Kiu Chan and Takao Watanabe for several helpful comments and to Jorge Lauret for reading the draft and making helpful suggestions.

References

[BH-S62] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra. "Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups". *Ann. of Math. (2)* **75**:3 (1962), 485–535.

[Bo01] M. Borovoi. "On representations of integers by indefinite ternary quadratic forms". *J. Number Theory* **90**:2 (2001), 281–293.

[BR95] M. Borovoi and Z. Rudnick. "Hardy-Littlewood varieties and semisimple groups". *Invent. Math.* **119**:1 (1995), 37–66.

[BH99] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **319**, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[BMW99] R. Bruggeman, R. Miatello and N. Wallach. "Resolvent and lattice points on symmetric spaces of strictly negative curvature". *Math. Ann.* **315**:4 (1999), 617–669.

[Ca78] J. W. S. Cassels. Rational quadratic forms. London Mathematical Society Monographs **13**, Academic Press Inc., 1978.

[CLPS91] J. Cogdell, J.-S. Li, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and P. Sarnak. "Poincaré series for SO$(n, 1)$". *Acta Math.* **167**:3–4 (1991), 229–285.

[EGM90] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald and J. Mennicke. "Kloosterman sums for Clifford algebras and a lower bound for the positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian for congruence subgroups acting on hyperbolic spaces". *Invent. Math.* **101**:3 (1990), 641–685.

[Hi99] Y. Hironaka. "Shperical functions and local densities on hermitian forms". *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **51**:3 (1999), 553–581.

[LP82] P. Lax and R. Phillips. "The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces". *J. Funct. Anal.* **46**:3 (1982), 280–350.

[Le87] B. M. Levitan. "Asymptotic formulas for the number of lattice points in Euclidean and Lobachevskiĭ spaces" (Russian). *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **42**:3 (1987), 13–35. Translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **42**:3 (1987), 13–42.

[Li91] J.-S. Li. "Kloosterman-Selberg zeta functions on complex hyperbolic spaces". *Amer. J. Math.* **113**:4 (1991), 653–731.

[MR03] C. Maclachlan and A. Reid. The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **219**, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[Mo73] G. D. Mostow. Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces. Ann. Math. Studies **78**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1973.

[Rag62] S. Raghavan. "On representation by hermitian forms". *Acta Arith.* **8** (1962), 33–96.

[Ram61] K. G. Ramanathan. "Zeta functions of quadratic forms". *Acta Arith.* **7** (1961), 39–69.

[RT97] J. Ratcliffe and S. Tschantz. "On the representation of integers by the Lorentzian quadratic form". *J. Funct. Anal.* **150**:2 (1997), 498–525.

[Sa90] P. Sarnak. "Diophantine problems and linear groups". In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Kyoto 1990, Vol. **1**, 459–471.

[Sch85] W. Schmidt. "The density of integer points on homogeneous varieties". *Acta Math.* **154**:3 (1985), 243–296.

[Sie44] C. L. Siegel. "On the theory of indefinite quadratic forms". *Ann. of Math. (2)* **45** (1944), 577–622.

[Sie51] C. L. Siegel. "Indefinite quadratische formen und funktionentheorie I". *Math. Ann.* **124** (1951), 17–54.

[Sie67] C. L. Siegel. Lectures on quadratic forms. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.

[Ya98] T. Yang. "An explicit formula for local densities of quadratic forms". *J. Number Theory* **72**:2 (1998), 309–356.

FaMAF–CIEM, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. 5000 — Córdoba, Argentina
E-mail address: `elauret@famaf.unc.edu.ar`